# EXHIBIT A

	THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR	
WESTERN DI	TATES DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
YIM, et al.,	No. 2:18-cv-736-JCC	
Plaintiffs,	BRIEF OF GRE DOWNTOWNER LLC AS AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY	
V.	JUDGMENT AND IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S CROSS-MOTION FOR	
CITY OF SEATTLE,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
Defendant.		
	CONTENTS	
	Page	
I. INTRODUCTION	2	
II.STATEMENT OF FACTS. 2 III. ARGUMENT		
	Landlord's Ability to Provide Safe and ow-Income Individuals Living in Downtown	
Seattle and Is Unduly Opp	pressive and Irrational7	
	ne First Amendment and Is Vague and7	
	8	
<u></u>	······································	

BRIEF OF GRE DOWNTOWNER LLC - 1 2:18-cv-736-JCC

## I. INTRODUCTION

Amicus curiae GRE Downtowner LLC ("GRE")<sup>1</sup> appreciates the Court's permission to submit an *amicus* brief in this matter and the opportunity to share information with the Court about its experience owning and operating a federally assisted housing project in downtown Seattle for the last six and one-half years. As detailed below, its experience since the City of Seattle's Fair Chance Housing Ordinance, SMC 14.09 (the "Ordinance") went into effect has been drastically different than its experience during the prior years.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Addison on Fourth ("the Addison") is an apartment building located in Seattle's Chinatown-International District. Built in 1911 as a hotel, the building was closed in the early 1960s and then reopened in 1969 as housing for low-income residents. In 2012, GRE purchased the property for \$12 million. It invested \$27 million more in major renovations to convert the property to 254 apartment homes, <sup>2</sup> artist lofts, and musician studios. GRE's goal with the renovations was to maintain the historic character of the building, while bringing the systems and finishes up to current code and standards.<sup>3</sup>

Although the acquisition and renovations were financed primarily with tax exempt bonds issued by the Washington State Housing Finance Commission, the project is federally subsidized. Provided the project continues to comply with certain requirements of the Internal Revenue Code, interest on the bonds will remain exempt from federal income tax. The requirements include limits on the income of apartment residents and limits on the amount of rent that can be charged for an apartment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Simultaneously with the filing of its motion for leave to submit an *amicus curiae* brief, GRE filed a Corporate Disclosure Statement as required by LCR 7.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 25 of the apartment homes are reserved for tenants with disabilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The renovation project qualified for federal historic and solar energy tax credits.

Compliance with substantially identical restrictions on income levels and maximum rent
amounts allows the project also to qualify for federal low-income housing tax credits. The Addison
currently provides housing for Seattle residents earning up to 60 percent of the area's median
income (\$45,600 for one person). The monthly rent for a studio apartment is capped at \$1,162,
while the maximum monthly rent for a one-bedroom unit is \$1,245. The income and rent limits
are tied to the Area Median Income calculations set by the U.S. Housing and Urban Development
("HUD") for the Seattle-Bellevue, WA HUD Metro Fair Market Rent Area. Rental payments for
many of the apartments are subsidized with federal Housing Choice Vouchers (formerly Section
8) and other rental assistance programs.
When the renovations were completed, the Addison re-opened in November 2013 under
the management of American Management Services Northwest LLC ("American"), a third-party
manager. American served as manager of the property until mid-May 2019, when GRE
Management LLC ("GRE Management") took over. 4 GRE Management is affiliated with
Goodman Real Estate, Inc., a privately held real estate investment company that specializes in
multifamily, retail and commercial real estate and has been headquartered in Seattle for 30 years.
The goal of the Addison's owner and management teams is, and always has been, to provide safe,
clean, comfortable, stable, and affordable housing for the Addison's residents.
During the first years after the Addison's reopening, that goal was met. New and long-
term residents were happy with the renovations and respectful of the rules for occupancy. The
project was economically viable and a going concern.
But six months into 2018, there was a noticeable change. Uncertain whether the Ordinance,
which went into effect in February 2018, applied to the Addison at all or in part, <sup>5</sup> management
<sup>4</sup> When GRE bought the property, the building was called The Downtowner. GRE renamed it The Addison on
Fourth. During the renovations, GRE's management team worked closely with tenants to keep as many of them in

place as possible. The building officially re-opened in November 2013 when the City issued a new Certificate of

<sup>5</sup> As discussed further below, the Ordinance does not define the term "federally assisted housing," which is found in

BRIEF OF GRE DOWNTOWNER LLC - 3 2:18-cv-736-JCC

Occupancy.

SMC 14.09.115.B.

25

elected to abide by the new prohibition against obtaining criminal background information for existing and prospective new tenants. Before that change in procedure, to determine an individual's eligibility to become a tenant, management had in place an application process that included a criminal background check by a reporting agency.<sup>6</sup> If the applicant had a history of criminal convictions, the agency would look at the type of crime and length of time since the crime was committed and determine whether the applicant satisfied pre-established criteria (which were tied to an assessment as to whether the criminal conduct indicated a demonstrable risk to resident safety and/or property). Then, without including any underlying information about the criminal history, the reporting agency would notify management whether an applicant was "approved" (i.e., passed the screening process), should be "declined" (did not pass the screening process), or might be "approved with conditions" (such as an increased deposit). The procedure was intended to eliminate bias, but also allowed some protection of the landlord.<sup>7</sup> With the discontinuation of that procedure, living conditions at the Addison declined precipitously.

Over the past two years, the number of 911 calls from the Addison has more than doubled. Fights are breaking out in the lobby of the building; used needles, trash, and feces are left in stairways and hallways; fire alarms are being set off repeatedly in the middle of the night. In response, the Addison's management has installed cameras in the hallways on every floor and in other public areas, upgraded door hardware, installed a controlled access system for the elevator, given residents fobs that allow them access only to their floor, and replaced the main lobby door. It has hired additional janitors and armed security guards. These new security measures have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Alternatively, if the applicant was a referral from the Seattle Housing Authority, the applicant was pre-screened by the agency pursuant to a memorandum of understanding between the agency and the Addison's management. That agreement between the agency and the Addison's management has been discontinued, however, and the agency now screens only for lifetime sex offender registration and conviction of manufacturing or producing methamphetamines on the premises of federally assisted housing.

off the premises of federary assisted housing.

7 *Cf.* U.S. HUD Office of General Counsel Application of Fair Housing Act Standards to the Use of Criminal Records by Providers of Housing and Real-Estate Related Transactions (April 4, 2016), available at

https://www.hud.gov/sites/documents/HUD\_OGCGUIDAPPFHASTANDARD.PDF (acknowledging that a housing provider's use of criminal history to deny housing to particular prospective tenants may not constitute unlawful intentional discrimination if necessary to achieve a substantial, legitimate, nondiscriminatory interest of the landlord,

such as protecting property and the safety of other residents).

greatly increased operating costs, yet problems remain rampant and the Addison's annual insurance deductible has climbed from \$5,000 to \$100,000.

Building managers started to keep a growing list of individuals banned from the building for starting fights or damaging property. A staff member was assaulted. Employees are afraid to work alone, so they now work in teams. Turnover is 400 percent.

In November 2019, a resident who had been living at the Addison for six months stabbed his guest in the chest during an argument. It was only after the resident was arrested that building managers learned the resident had several outstanding arrest warrants. Other residents have sold drugs out of their apartments. Over 500 people have lived in the Addison over the past two years, but management finds out about a resident's criminal past only when the police arrive and arrest someone on the premises.

Evictions have tripled in the past two years, but that, too, has not solved the problems. While it would seem a simple matter to evict a problem tenant who is endangering others, damaging property, and violating the lease agreement, the process can take several months. Costs associated with a single eviction can easily climb to \$4,000, not including lost rents and the expense of refurbishing a trashed apartment. In the last 12 months alone, the Addison has had to pursue judicial evictions of tenants in 42 of its apartments. That is more than 16 percent of the apartments in the building. Thirty of the 42 evictions were for behavioral issues: a stabbing; allowing drug dealers to take over the apartment; bringing trespassers into the building; harassing/assaulting staff; being aggressive with neighbors; damaging the building; and prostitution.

All the problems are leading longtime residents to move out. One example is "A," an African American woman just reaching retirement age. She has lived at the Addison since 2004, when it was the Downtowner. She was thrilled with the changes made after GRE's acquisition and renovations. Her apartment was completed updated, and the lobby was modernized and decorated with plants, art, sofas, armchairs, and a television. She felt safe. That is no longer the

BRIEF OF GRE DOWNTOWNER LLC - 5 2:18-cv-736-JCC

1	case and she is planning to leave soon. "B" is a 70-year-old man who works in housekeeping at		
2	T-Mobile Park. A former counselor for homeless veterans, he has lived at the Addison for five		
3	years and has seen the changes. He says he doesn't know the people who live on his floor anymore		
4	because the turnover is so high. In his view, the "good people" are leaving. Online reviews show		
5	the Addison's reputation for being a safe, clean, and comfortable place to live has suffered greatly.		
6	Tenant turnover has averaged 50 percent during each of the last two years.		
7	It is telling to examine comparative metrics for the periods two years before and two years		
8	after the Ordinance went into effect:		
9	<ul> <li>Negative social media reviews increased 186 percent</li> </ul>		
10	- 108 mark a strong and a strong a strong and a strong a strong and a strong a strong and a strong and a strong and a strong a strong and a strong		
11	• The average occupancy declined over 5 percent		
12	• The average monthly number of evictions climbed from 1.48 to 3.96 (168 percent)		
13			
14	• The average monthly evictions expense climbed from \$1,442 to \$2,983 (107 percent)		
15	• The average monthly total security costs climbed from \$2,350 to \$9,581 (308 percent)		
16			
17	• The average monthly non-recurring capital expenditures climbed from \$4,573 to		
18	\$15,704 (243 percent)		
19	• The project has moved from cash flow positive to cash flow negative – a drop of over		
20	400 percent		
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	Over the same four-year period, average monthly rents increased only three percent per		
23	year, or about \$30. This is a rent-controlled project and expenses must be managed in relationship		
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	to the rents. The expenses are out of control because of the Ordinance and the project is rapidly		
25	becoming unsustainable.		
43			

## III. ARGUMENT

A. The Ordinance Inhibits a Landlord's Ability to Provide Safe and Affordable Housing for Low-Income Individuals Living in Downtown Seattle and Is Unduly Oppressive and Irrational.

The City of Seattle is refusing to let private landlords screen applicants for criminal history to ensure that new tenants will not threaten the health, safety, or right to peaceful enjoyment of the community by other tenants or threaten physical damage to property. That refusal is imposing an unduly oppressive and irrational burden on Seattle landlords and thereby violating the substantive due process rights of those landlords. *See* authorities cited in Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 23) at 17-21, Plaintiffs' opposition to the City's cross motion and reply (Dkt. No. 48) at 27-30, and Plaintiffs' supplemental brief (Dkt. No. 66) at 1-8; *see also* Amicus Brief of the National Apartment Association (Dkt. No. 39-1) at 12-18.

# B. The Ordinance Violates the First Amendment and Is Vague and Unworkable.

The Ordinance's ban on inquiring about an individual's criminal background violates the free speech protections of the First Amendment. *See* authorities cited in Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 23) at 5-17 and Plaintiffs' opposition to the City's cross motion and reply (Dkt. No. 48) at 2-22.

The Ordinance also is unconstitutionally vague because it lacks specific information regarding the core conduct that is supposed to be prohibited. *See* Brief of the National Consumer Reporting Association as Amicus Curiae (Dkt. No. 44-1) at 10-16. And it lacks specific information as to the parties to whom it is to be applied. It says it "does not apply to an adverse action taken by landlords of federally assisted housing subject to regulations that require denial of tenancy," SMC 14.09.115.B, but it contains no definition of "landlords of federally assisted housing," *see id.*; *see also* SMC 14.09.010. Is the exemption applicable when a landlord owns an apartment building that is financed with tax exempt bonds? When the landlord was granted federal historic and solar energy tax credits? When the project qualifies for federal low-income housing tax credits? When rental payments made by tenants are subsidized with federal Housing Choice

BRIEF OF GRE DOWNTOWNER LLC - 7 2:18-cv-736-JCC

1 Vouchers? When most tenants in the building, but not all, receive subsidies from federal Housing

2 Choice Vouchers or other rental assistance programs? Is the exemption applicable only when the

landlord receives a Housing Choice Voucher for a specific tenant, and with respect to the apartment

occupied or to be occupied by that tenant? Or is the exemption applicable only when a public

housing authority is the landlord?

The Ordinance not only is vague, it also is unworkable. The City is taking the position that the Ordinance's exemption for "providers of federally-assisted housing" is "limited to their decisions to deny tenancy (or take other 'adverse actions') where federal regulations require that decision," but providers of federally-assisted housing "remain subject to the Ordinance's other requirements." *See* City of Seattle's Combined Opp'n to Pls.' Mot. for Summ. J and Cross Mot. for Summ. J. (Dkt. No. 48) at 15-16. In other words, landlords of federally assisted housing may deny tenancies to individuals convicted of manufacturing or producing methamphetamines on the premises of federally assisted housing, but these landlords are not allowed to make any inquiries (on their own or through third party reporting agencies) that would elicit information telling them whether individuals had ever been convicted of that crime. Obviously, a landlord cannot reject a prospective tenant on this ground if the landlord does not know of the conviction.

### IV. CONCLUSION

The Addison is in serious jeopardy. The five on-site managers are not social workers. They are persons trying to meet GRE's goal of providing safe, clean, comfortable, stable, and affordable housing for low-income Seattle residents. The Ordinance is unlawfully hindering achievement of that goal.

GRE respectfully urges the Court to grant Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and deny the City of Seattle's cross motion for summary judgment.

1	DATED: May 7, 2020.	
2	211122. Hay 1, 2020.	STOEL RIVES LLP
3		
4		<i>s/ Jill D. Bowman</i> Jill D. Bowman, WSBA No. 11754
5		jill.bowman@stoel.com
6		STOEL RIVES LLP 600 University Street, Suite 3600
7		600 University Street, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: 206.624.0900 Facsimile: 206.386.7500
8		Facsimile: 206.386.7500
9		Attorneys for GRE Downtowner LLC
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

BRIEF OF GRE DOWNTOWNER LLC - 9 2:18-cv-736-JCC

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I certify that on this day I electronically filed this document with the Clerk of the Court		
3	using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to:		
4	Brian T. Hodges	Melissa R. Lee Robert S. Chang	
5	Ethan W. Blevins Pacific Legal Foundation	Ronald A. Peterson Law Clinic 1112 E. Columbia St.	
6	255 South King Street, Ste. 800 Seattle, WA 98104	Seattle, WA 98122 206-398-4394	
7	425-576-0484 bth@pacificlegal.org	<u>leeme@seattleu.edu</u>	
8	eblevins@pacificlegal.org  Attorneys for Plaintiffs	<u>changro@seattleu.edu</u> Attorneys for Amici Curiae Fred T. Korematsu Center for Law and Equality and ACLU-WA	
10	Hillary Madsen	Michael J. Saltz	
11	Kimberlee L. Gunning Nicholas Brian Allen	Jacobsen, Russell, Saltz, Nassim & De La Torre, LLP	
12	Columbia Legal Services 101 Yesler Way, Ste. 300 Seattle, WA 98104-2552	1880 Century Park East, Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067 <u>msaltz@jrsnd.com</u> 310-446-9900	
13	206-464-0838 hillary.madsen@columbialegal.org	and Jeffrey E. Bilanko Carroll, Biddle, & Bilanko, PLLC 801	
14	kim.gunning@columbialegal.org nick.allen@columbialegal.org	2nd Avenue, Suite 800 Seattle, WA 98104	
15	Attorneys for Amici Curiae Pioneer Human Services and Tenants Union of Washington	206-489-5549 jbilanko@cbblegal.com	
16	Eric Dunn	Attorneys for Amicus Curiae NCRA	
17	National Housing Law Project 919 E. Main Street, Ste. 610	Douglas E. Smith Littler Mendelson, P.C.	
18 19	Richmond, VA 23219 415-546-7000 ext. 3102	600 University Street, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101-3122	
20	edunn@nhlp.org Attorney for Amici Curiae National Housing	206-623-3300 desmith@littler.com	
21	Law Project and Sargent Shriver National Center on Poverty Law	Attorneys for Amici Curiae CDIA/NAPBS	
22	Center on Foverty Law		
23	Dated May 7, 2020.		
24			
25		<u>s/ Karrie Fielder</u> Legal Assistant	

BRIEF OF GRE DOWNTOWNER LLC - 10 2:18-cv-736-JCC